Do smokers have a different perception of taste than non-smokers?¹

Jessica Antonini and Kellie Tinnion Robert Morris University

Sense of smell is important to our perceptions of taste. Reformed smokers often remark that food tastes better to them after they quit smoking. It has been argued that this is due to improved sense of smell, rather than improvement of sense of taste. The present study directly compares taste perception (without smell or sight) of smokers and non-smokers. Participants made taste judgments for different foods having similar textures. If smell is a critical factor in taste perception, we expect little difference between groups. However, if smoking does affect taste, then differences should be observed.

Hypothesis

We believe that smoking cigarettes affects the sense of taste. We believe that our experiment will prove that smokers will be able to identify less of the foods than non-smokers.

Introduction

Four sensory systems (sight, taste, smell and touch) combine to produce the experience of flavor. Because these different systems combine to create the psychological experiences underlying flavor, anything that could affect one or more of these processes should affect accuracy (or enjoyment) of flavor.

Due to habituation, smoking may result in a decrease in smokers' ability to detect smoking related odors. In addition, it is possible that regular smoking might result in more stress to the olfactory epithelium compared with non-smokers. In either case, if the sense of smell is impaired, it is likely that taste (flavor) should also be affected.

We plan to test a variety of smokers and nonsmokers as well as females and males. We hope to find results to support our hypothesis.

Method

Participants

The 30 volunteers were all Robert Morris University undergraduates who either received course credit or were interested in participating. The total number of smokers was 10 (four males and six females), while the total number of nonsmokers was 20 (nine males and eleven females).

Materials

Plastic forks and spoons; Styrofoam bowls with lids; napkins; blindfold; bottled water; oranges; lemons; grapes; cherries; potatoes; pears; chocolate pudding; vanilla pudding; Nutella; peanut butter; pencil/pen; participant sheets.

Procedure

First we sat the participant down in a chair. We explained the experimentation process to them. We then asked them if they have any food allergies. After the participant replied, we began to fill out the participant sheet.

Next we blindfolded the participant and had them pinch their nose. We handed the participant a fresh bottle of water and asked them to take a drink before we began, in order to cleanse their palates. We then used a clean plastic fork to place a food sample (e.g., a grape) into the participant's mouth. After the participant chewed the sample, we asked them to identify the food. If they could not identify the food, we asked them to guess. We recorded the results and asked them to take a drink of water to cleanse their palates once again. We proceeded to get a clean fork and placed the next food sample (e.g., a cherry) into the participant's mouth and

¹ Address correspondence to: Stephen T. Paul, Ph.D., 6001 University Blvd., Moon Township, PA 15108-1189, or via email at: paul@rmu.edu.

asked them to identify the food. After they responded, we recorded the results and continued in this manner until all food items were sampled.

Results

Number of correct responses by food-type.

| Food Type | Smokers | | Non-Smokers | |
|-------------|---------|--------|-------------|--------|
| | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Cherry | 2 | 6 | 4 | 7 |
| Grape | 4 | 6 | 9 | 11 |
| Potato | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| Pear | 2 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| Pudding (C) | 3 | 5 | 8 | 8 |
| Pudding (V) | 2 | 5 | 7 | 7 |
| Nutella | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Pnt. Butter | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
| Orange | 3 | 5 | 6 | 10 |
| Lemon | 4 | 6 | 3 | 9 |

Conclusions

After performing this study, we have concluded that smokers and non-smokers have a slight difference in their sense of taste, but not significant enough to be noticed. Our results indicated that smokers and non-smokers could identify (or not identify) basically the same foods.

Bibliography

Newton's Apple. (2002). *Taste and Smell*. Found March 28, 2004 on the World Wide Web at: http://www.ktca.org/Newton/11/tstesml.html.

Ross, J. (2002). *Enology International The Senses & the Psychology of Quality*. Found March 28, 2004 on the World Wide Web at: http://www.enologyinternational.com/senses.html

Sekuler, R. & Blake, R. (2002). *Perception*, 4th Ed. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Wardlaw, G. (2000). Contemporary Nutrition Issues and Insights, 4th Ed. New York: McGraw-Hill.